

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,338	06/22/2001	Anant Sahai	60021-0013	7231
7590 03/10/2005			EXAMINER	
MacPherson, Kwok, Chen & Hied LLP			VARTANIAN, HARRY	
1762 Technology Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 226			AKTONII	FAFER NOMBER
San Jose, CA 95110			2634	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		1	SAHAI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	09/888,338 Examiner	Art Unit				
	011100 7.0 Culturally						
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	Harry Vartanian	2634	address			
Period f	or Reply	auon appears on the cover	·				
THE - Extraording - If th - If N - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commure e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) O period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howevication. days, a reply within the statutory minir tory period will apply and will expire S II. by statute, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tim IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.			
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>11 April 2002</u> .					
2a)□							
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14-17,28-31,39-41 and 62-65</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,10,18,24,32 and 42</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>2-9, 11-13, 19-23, 25-27, 33-38, and 43-61</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 June 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreian priority under 35 (J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
) All b) Some * c) None of:	,	()				
,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority de						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			al Stage			
	application from the Internation						
*	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified cop	ies not received.				
Attachme							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date				
2) ☐ Noti 3) ☐ Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	TO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/2002</u> .	6) 🔲 0	Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/888,338

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because

they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 210 and

ALL OF FIGURE 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or

amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in

compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid

abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all

of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure

is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must

be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37

CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be

notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The

objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Please fill in the

appropriate application or patent numbers in paragraphs 5, 19, and 63 of the PG-PUB

version.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,338

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Objections

3. Claims 8, 49-52, and 54-57 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 8 mentions "an approximate position of the receiver". There is no support in the specification for position measurements of the receiver. The same problem occurs in Claims 49-52. A simple fix would be to add the matter of Claim 8 to the specification. *Please be aware of possible new matter situations by adding any matter from Claims 49-52 to specification.* Claims 54-57 are objected to for being dependent on objected base Claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1, 18, 32 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Stott et al(United States Patent# 6,137,847). Regarding Claim 1, Stott et al discloses a method of digital video acquisition using a synchronization system wherein:

"The timing synchronizer includes a coarse synchronizer employing a subset of the data sample values for providing a course timing synchronization, and a fine synchronizer for providing a fine synchronization within a time period determined by the coarse synchronization." (abstract)

In figure 9, Stott et al discloses that the received signal is sent through a correlator. Subsequently, the signal is sent through to the coarse and fine synchronization stages where coarse subset values are used for fine synchronization. Furthermore, Stott et al discloses that a range of delay values produced by the fine phase synchronization(see item 96 in figure 9) are used in the correlator for:

Application/Control Number: 09/888,338 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

"...for receiving the data sample values <u>and including a delay having a time period delay equal to</u> <u>the active symbol period</u>, and a multiplier for receiving the data symbol values and <u>a version thereof</u> <u>delayed by the delay</u>, to form a complex product signal, and a filter for filtering the complex product signal."(abstract)

The use of I and Q integrals is inherent in the correlation process of a sinusoidal radio signal.

Regarding Claim 18, the rejection for Claim 1 above also meets the limitations of the Claim. Estimating carrier frequency values is addressed in the abstract.

Regarding Claim 32, the rejection for Claim 1 above also meets the limitations of the Claim.

Regarding Claim 42, the rejection for Claim 1 above also meets the limitations of the Claim.

The step of pre-processing a signal, such as Analog to Digital conversion, is shown in fig 3, item 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,338

Art Unit: 2634

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating

obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 10 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Stott et al (United States Patent# 6,137,847). Stott et al meets all the limitations of the

Claim except disclosing the use of the receiver for GPS. However, it would have been prima

facie obvious to use fine and coarse phase acquisition in a GPS receiver since it was a well-

known technique at the time of the invention. A motivation to combine is that it is an

efficient way to quickly acquire phase in fast fading channels found in GPS systems.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14-17, 28-31, 39-41, and 62-65 are allowed. Claims 14-15, 28-29, and 39

are allowable because the prior art failed to teach "selecting a delay value from the

hypothesized range of delay values that correspond to a highest magnitude value that

corresponds to the coarse-grained calculations of I and Q correlation integrals as an initial

estimate of delay value" in combination with the other limitations of the Claim for delay

estimation.

Regarding Claim 62, the prior art failed to teach "generating a parametric template

representing correlation values associated with said received signal" in combination with the

other limitations of the Claim for delay estimation.

7. Claims 2-9, 11-13, 19-23, 25-27, 33-38, and 43-61 are objected to as being

dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent

form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening Claims -AND- the

above objections are overcome.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/888,338

Art Unit: 2634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Harry Vartanian whose telephone number is 571.272.3048. The

examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571.272.3056. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry Vartanian Examiner

Art Unit 2634

HV

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600